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SUMMARY

Mitochondrial movements are tightly controlled to maintain energy homeostasis and prevent oxidative stress. Miro is an outer mitochondrial membrane protein that anchors mitochondria to microtubule motors and is removed to stop mitochondrial motility as an early step in the clearance of dysfunctional mitochondria. Here, using human induced pluripotent stem cell (iPSC)-derived neurons and other complementary models, we build on a previous connection of Parkinson’s disease (PD)-linked PINK1 and Parkin to Miro by showing that a third PD-related protein, LRRK2, promotes Miro removal by forming a complex with Miro. Pathogenic LRRK2G2019S disrupts this function, delaying the arrest of damaged mitochondria and consequently slowing the initiation of mitophagy. Remarkably, partial reduction of Miro levels in LRRK2G2019S human neuron and Drosophila PD models rescues neurodegeneration. Miro degradation and mitochondrial motility are also impaired in sporadic PD patients. We reveal that prolonged retention of Miro, and the downstream consequences that ensue, may constitute a central component of PD pathogenesis.

INTRODUCTION

Parkinson’s disease (PD) is the second most common neurodegenerative disease and is characterized by selective loss of dopaminergic neurons in the substantia nigra. The most common genetic mutation in PD is the G2019S mutation in the Ser/Thr kinase domain of LRRK2 (leucine-rich repeat kinase 2), which represents ~1%–2% of total cases (Berg et al., 2005; Bonifati, 2002) and 5%–6% of total familial cases (Bonifati, 2002). Intriguingly, LRRK2 is also a risk locus for sporadic PD (Cookson, 2010), and the clinical manifestations of patients bearing LRRK2G2019S are indistinguishable from those of idiopathic PD (Gilks et al., 2005). Thus, understanding the pathogenic pathway of mutant LRRK2 will yield insights into the disease mechanisms that are broadly applicable to both familial and sporadic forms of PD.

Mitochondria are highly mobile organelles, and their movements are tightly controlled (Glater et al., 2006; Wang and Schwarz, 2009b; Wang et al., 2011). Mitochondrial motility ceases prior to the initiation of mitophagy (Ashrafi et al., 2014; Liu et al., 2012; Wang et al., 2011), a crucial cellular mechanism by which depolarized mitochondria are degraded through autophagosomes and lysosomes (Ashrafi et al., 2014; Wang et al., 2011; Whitworth and Pallanck, 2009; Youle and Narendra, 2011). The arrest of motility may sequester damaged mitochondria, preventing them from moving and from reintroducing damage to other healthy mitochondria. Miro is an outer mitochondrial membrane (OMM) protein that anchors the microtubule motors kinesin and dynein to mitochondria (Glater et al., 2006; Koutsopoulos et al., 2010; Wang and Schwarz, 2009b). This depolarization-triggered mitochondrial arrest is achieved by removal of Miro from the damaged mitochondrial surface (Ashrafi et al., 2014; Liu et al., 2012; Wang et al., 2011). Miro is subsequently degraded by proteasomes (Figure 1A) (Wang et al., 2011). Evidence has shown that two PD-linked proteins, PINK1 (PTEN-induced putative kinase 1) and Parkin, act in concert to target Miro for degradation (Ashrafi et al., 2014; Liu et al., 2012; Wang et al., 2011). Mutations in PINK1 or Parkin cause the rare forms of recessive early-onset PD (Kitada et al., 1998; Valente et al., 2004). However, whether Miro is connected to other more common forms of PD remains unknown. In this study, we discover that a third PD-linked protein, LRRK2, promotes Miro removal from damaged mitochondria by forming a complex with Miro. Pathogenic LRRK2G2019S disrupts this complex and thus slows Miro removal and mitochondrial arrest and delays the subsequent mitophagy. Miro is also misregulated in sporadic PD patients, and targeting Miro may have therapeutic benefits. Because LRRK2 mutations are inherited in a dominant manner and are the most common genetic cause of PD, and because sporadic cases account for the majority of PD cases, our results reveal that Miro may be a common denominator of multiple types of PD.
RESULTS

Miro Is Resistant to Degradation in Both Familial and Sporadic PD Patients

Although Miro is targeted for proteasomal degradation by two PD-associated proteins, PINK1 and Parkin, it is unknown whether Miro protein levels are altered in PD patients. We thus immunoblotted Miro1 in whole-cell lysates of skin fibroblasts from PD patients. We used 20 independent lines (Figure S1): 4 healthy control subjects; 5 apparently sporadic patients with no known mutations; 6 familial patients with G2019S, R1441G, R1441C, or Y1699C in LRRK2, respectively; 2 familial patients

Figure 1. Miro Is Resistant to Degradation in PD Patients

(A) Schematic representation of Miro removal from the OMM of damaged mitochondria causing mitochondrial arrest prior to proteasomal degradation of Miro. KHC, kinesin heavy chain; Milton, a mitochondrial adaptor linking Miro to KHC.

(B) Fibroblasts from PD patients and healthy controls were incubated with 40 μM CCCP prior to being lysed. Immunoblots of lysates were probed with antibodies as indicated.

(C) The intensity of each band is normalized to the loading control Actin, and expressed as a fraction of the mean of “Control, 0 hr.” “Control” was included in every independent experiment. n = 4–27 independent experiments. Fluorescent western blotting was performed to ensure the linear correlation between protein levels and band intensities. The band intensities of Actin are not significantly different among all genotypes and conditions (p = 0.1470). *p < 0.05; **p < 0.01; ***p < 0.001; error bars represent mean ± SEM here and for all figures, unless otherwise stated. See also Figures S1 and S2.
with I368N in PINK1; and 3 familial patients with small deletions, R42P, or R275W in Parkin, respectively. We found that the basal levels of Miro1 protein were normal in PD fibroblasts, although the mitochondrial membrane potential (ΔΨ) as detected by tetramethylrhodamine (TMRM) staining was slightly lower (Figures S1, S2A, and S2B). We applied a well-established mitochondrial depolarizer for non-neuronal cells, carbonyl cyanide m-chlorophenylhydroxazone (CCCP), to fibroblasts. CCCP treatment for just 15 min significantly depolarized the ΔΨ (Figure S2A). CCCP-triggered proteasomal degradation of Miro precedes mitophagy, which occurs through autophagosomes and lysosomes (Wang et al., 2011). Therefore, we lysed cells at 6 hr after CCCP treatment to observe Miro degradation and at 14 hr to observe mitophagy, which is characterized by clearance of multiple mitochondrial proteins including the matrix protein ATP5β (ATP synthase subunit β) and the OMM protein VDAC (voltage-dependent anion channel). We found that Miro1 was degraded within 6 hr after CCCP treatment, and ATP5β and VDAC were degraded within 14 hr, in all 4 lines of wild-type control fibroblasts (Figure 1). Remarkably, these sequential actions of Miro1 degradation and the subsequent mitochondrial clearance induced by CCCP were significantly impaired in fibroblasts from all 16 familial and sporadic PD patients. Miro1 and multiple mitochondrial proteins showed no pronounced degradation for up to 14 hr after CCCP treatment (Figure 1). Application of the solvent of CCCP (DMSO) alone did not affect mitochondrial protein levels for up to 14 hr in control or patient lines (Figure S2C). Therefore, we have unexpectedly uncovered a cellular phenotype (the striking impairment in Miro degradation and the subsequent damaged mitochondrial clearance) in skin fibroblasts associated with a wide spectrum of PD backgrounds, linking Miro to LRRK2 and sporadic PD.

The Arrest of Damaged Mitochondria Is Delayed in LRRK2G2019S iPSC-Derived Axons

Because Miro anchors mitochondria to microtubule motors, retention of Miro on damaged mitochondria is predicted to prolong active mitochondrial transport. To determine whether mitochondrial motility is impaired in PD, we live-imaged mitochondria in axons of induced pluripotent stem cell (iPSC)-derived neurons from PD patients with LRRK2G2019S, the most common mutation in PD. Neuronal axons represent the ideal model for studying bidirectional microtubule-based mitochondrial movement owing to their uniform microtubule polarity (Wang and Schwarz, 2009a, 2009b; Wang et al., 2011). We used iPSCs reprogrammed from three PD patients harboring the LRRK2G2019S mutation: LRRK2G2019S-I (homozygous; Nguyen et al., 2011), LRRK2G2019S-II (heterozygous; Sanders et al., 2014), and LRRK2G2019S-III (homozygous; Cooper et al., 2012). We also included three control iPSC lines: Wild-type-I (healthy control; Nguyen et al., 2011); Wild-type-II (the G2019S mutation in LRRK2G2019S-II was genetically corrected as an isogenic control for LRRK2G2019S-II; Sanders et al., 2014), and Wild-type-III (healthy control; ND41866, NINDS Human Cell and Data Repository). We differentiated iPSCs to dopaminergic neurons that express tyrosine hydroxylase (TH), the rate-limiting enzyme in dopamine biosynthesis, as previously described (Nguyen et al., 2011; Sanders et al., 2014). The iPSCs and derived neurons have been fully validated and described in detail (Cooper et al., 2012; Nguyen et al., 2011; Sanders et al., 2014). To label mitochondria, we transiently transfected iPSC-derived neurons with mito-dsRed and imaged axons at days 22 to 23, when they were sufficiently mature to express TH (Figures S2D and S2E). The ΔΨ detected by TMRM staining was slightly lower in LRRK2G2019S neurons, but this lowered ΔΨ was insufficient to trigger Miro degradation (Figures S3A and S3B). We chose the distal segment of the axon for analysis and the parameters of mitochondrial transport under basal conditions did not significantly vary between wild-type and PD LRRK2G2019S neurons (Figure 2; Table S1).

We next applied the complex III inhibitor antimycin A, which has been shown to successfully trigger mitophagy in rodent neurons (Ashrafi et al., 2014; Wang et al., 2011), to iPSC-derived neurons. We confirmed that application of antimycin A for 10 min significantly depolarized the ΔΨ in human iPSC-derived neurons (Figure S3A). In wild-type neurons from two healthy control subjects and one isogenic control line, we observed a sequence of mitochondrial events after antimycin A treatment. Within 15 min, mitochondrial length was significantly shortened; within 25 min, mitochondrial motility in both anterograde and retrograde directions was significantly reduced; finally, within 70 min, mitochondrial clearance was induced (Figures 2A–2C and S3C; Table S1). The mitochondrial clearance was not due to laser damage, because mito-dsRed was not degraded even after 70 min of laser scanning when only the solvent of antimycin A, ethanol, was applied (Figures S4A and S4B). Nor was it caused by non-mitochondrial stress, because mito-dsRed was not degraded after 70-min treatment of DTT, an ER stressor (Figures S4A and S4B). Antimycin A treatment resulted in a specific clearance of mitochondria, because lysosomes, labeled by Lamp1-RFP, were unaffected (Figures S4C and S4D). The clearance of the entire mitochondria is consistent with macroautophagic degradation in lysosomes during mitophagy (Ashrafi and Schwarz, 2013; Klionsky et al., 2012). In contrast, in LRRK2G2019S neurons, damage-induced mitochondrial arrest and clearance were impaired. Damaged mitochondria did not stop moving until 70 min after treatment as compared to 25 min in wild-type, and mitochondrial intensity was not significantly affected up to 70 min after treatment (Figures 2A–2C; Table S1). In summary, LRRK2G2019S delays, but does not completely block, mitochondrial arrest in response to mitochondrial depolarization.

Miro Removal from Damaged Mitochondria Is Delayed in LRRK2G2019S iPSC-Derived Neurons

The delayed mitochondrial arrest in LRRK2G2019S neurons (Figures 2A and 2B) suggests that Miro removal from the OMM of damaged mitochondria is also delayed. To test this hypothesis, we separated the mitochondrial fraction, which contains proteins bound to the OMM, from the cytosolic fraction of iPSC-derived neurons 21 days after differentiation. Application of the solvent of antimycin A, ethanol, did not affect mitochondrial protein levels for up to 70 min in either wild-type or LRRK2G2019S iPSC-derived neurons (Figure S4E). In wild-type neurons at 25 min after antimycin A treatment, the same time when damaged mitochondria stop moving in the live videoscopic experiments (Figures 2A and 2B), Miro1 was significantly reduced from the mitochondrial fraction, while other
Figure 2. LRRK2G2019S Delays Mitochondrial Arrest and Clearance in iPSC-Derived Axons

(A) Mitochondrial movement in representative axons transfected with mito-dsRed before and after treatment with 100 μM antimycin A. The first frame of each live-imaging series is shown above a kymograph generated from the movie. The x axis is mitochondrial position and the y axis corresponds to time (moving from top to bottom). Vertical white lines represent stationary mitochondria and diagonal lines are moving mitochondria. Scale bars, 10 μm.

(B) From kymographs as in (A), the percent of time each mitochondrion is in motion is determined and averaged. Comparisons with “Wildtype-I, before treatment.” n = 30–110 mitochondria from eight axons from eight separate transfections per line.

(C) The mitochondrial intensity normalized to that of the same axonal region at “0 min” is expressed as a percent of the mean of “Wildtype-I, 0 min.” Comparisons with “Wildtype-I, 0 min.” n = 8 axons per line.

(D) The mitochondrial (Mito) and cytosolic (Cyto) fractions were purified from iPSC-derived neurons with 100 μM antimycin A treatment and blotted with antibodies indicated. Loading ratio is 2:1:1 for “Mito: Cyto: Total.”

(E) Quantification of the band intensities as in (D) of ATP5β, MCAD, VDAC, Miro1, or Mitofusin2 in the mitochondrial fraction. Their band intensities are normalized to those of Actin in “Total” and then expressed as a fraction of the mean of “Wildtype-I, 0 min.” n = 3 independent experiments. The band intensities of Actin are not significantly different among all genotypes and conditions (p = 0.9).

See also Figures S2–S4 and Table S1.
Figure 3. LRRK2G2019S Delays Mitophagy in iPSC-Derived Axons

(A) Neurons were transfected with GFP and immunostained as indicated. The percentage of optineurin-positive neurons is quantified. Comparisons with "Wildtype-I, 0 min." n = 186–241 neurons from three independent transfections.
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mitochondrial markers, including the matrix proteins ATP5β and MCAD (medium chain acyl dehydrogenase), and the OMM protein VDAC remained intact (Figures 2D and 2E). Additionally, at 70 min after treatment, multiple mitochondrial matrix and OMM proteins were significantly degraded, demonstrating the occurrence of mitophagy (Figures 2D and 2E). This is consistent with the imaging results showing that mitochondria are cleared at 70 min in wild-type iPSC-derived neurons (Figures 2A–2C). However, in LRRK2G2019S neurons, Miro1 was not significantly reduced from the mitochondrial fraction until 70 min after treatment, and multiple mitochondrial proteins were not affected by antimycin A up to 70 min after treatment (Figures 2D and 2E). Thus, LRRK2G2019S delays depolarization-triggered Miro removal from mitochondria. These biochemical results coincide with our imaging results showing that mitochondria do not stop moving until 70 min after antimycin A treatment, and mitochondria are not cleared after 70-min treatment in LRRK2G2019S neurons (Figures 2A–2C). In contrast to Miro1, the OMM protein Mitofusin2 was significantly degraded after 25 min in the mitochondrial fractions of both wild-type and LRRK2G2019S neurons (Figures 2D and 2E), confirming that Mitofusin2 also undergoes depolarization-induced degradation as previously reported (Poole et al., 2010; Tanaka et al., 2010) and that it is not affected by LRRK2G2019S. Taken together, LRRK2G2019S selectively delays Miro1 removal from the OMM and slows the subsequent mitochondrial clearance in iPSC-derived neurons.

Axonal Mitophagy Is Delayed in LRRK2G2019S iPSC-Derived Neurons

To validate that mitochondrial degradation induced by antimycin A treatment (Figure 2) occurs via mitophagy, we first immunostained optineurin, an early mitophagy marker for recruiting autophagosomes (Lazarou et al., 2015; Wong and Holzbaur, 2014), in iPSC-derived neurons. In both wild-type and LRRK2G2019S neuronal axons, optineurin appeared undetectable prior to antimycin A treatment. However, after treatment, optineurin became punctate, substantially colocalizing with mitochondria labeled by ATP5β, 50 min later in wild-type and 210 min later in LRRK2G2019S neurons (Figure 3A), showing a delay in the initiation of mitophagy in LRRK2G2019S neurons. We next cotransfected neurons with LC3-GFP, an autophagosome marker, and live-recorded individual axons before and after treatment. The accumulation of LC3-GFP on damaged mitochondria is a functional reporter of mitophagy (Kliosky et al., 2012). In both wild-type and LRRK2G2019S neuronal axons, LC3-GFP was undetectable prior to antimycin A treatment (Figures 3B and 3C), demonstrating that mitophagy was not activated. However, after treatment, LC3-GFP formed puncta that significantly colocalized with mito-dsRed 60 min later in wild-type axons, indicating autophagosome formation on damaged mitochondria (Figures 3B and 3C). We verified that formation of autophagosomes on mitochondria was a specific response to the mitochondrial stressor antimycin A, as it did not occur when the ER stressor DTT was applied for the same period of time (Figures S4F and S4G). LC3-GFP also formed puncta significantly colocalizing with mito-dsRed in LRRK2G2019S axons, but at a much later time point (220 min, as compared to 60 min in wild-type) (Figures 3B and 3C). In conclusion, the kinetics of autophagosome formation is disrupted in LRRK2G2019S neurons; autophagosomes can still form on damaged mitochondria, but formation is delayed.

Furthermore, we measured fusion of mitochondria-containing autophagosomes with lysosomes by mito-mkeima, a mitochondrial targeted ratiometric pH-sensitive biosensor (Bingol et al., 2014). High-ratio fluorescence (excitation: 561 nm/488 nm) reflects fusion of autophagosomes with acidic lysosomes. In wild-type neuronal axons, transfected mito-mkeima displayed a significant increase in the fluorescence ratio 65 min after antimycin A treatment, whereas in LRRK2G2019S neuronal axons, mito-mkeima did not elevate the fluorescence ratio until 230 min after treatment (Figures 3D and 3E). These results show that fusion of mitochondria-containing autophagosomes with lysosomes is consequently delayed in LRRK2G2019S neurons.

Midbrain dopaminergic neurodegeneration is a hallmark of PD. Although we found that axonal mitophagy was delayed in LRRK2G2019S iPSC-derived neurons by live imaging mitochondria (Figures 2, 3B, and 3E), we were not able to distinguish whether these neurons were dopaminergic due to lack of available fluorescent dopaminergic markers for live cells. We applied an alternative strategy by immunostaining GFP-labeled neuronal cultures with anti-TH, along with anti-LC3 to recognize autophagosomes. We found that autophagosome formation was delayed in dopaminergic neurons derived from LRRK2G2019S iPSCs. In wild-type neurons, L3C formed puncta at 60 min after antimycin A treatment in TH-positive dopaminergic axons, indicating autophagosome formation; in LRRK2G2019S neurons, however, LC3 did not form puncta until 220 min after treatment in dopaminergic axons (Figure 3F). This cellular phenotype of delayed mitophagy also occurred in TH-negative (non-dopaminergic) axons (Figure 3F). Our results now link LRRK2 to the regulation of Miro and mitochondrial motility, which consequently influences mitophagy.

(B) Neurons were transfected with mito-dsRed and LC3-GFP. The intensity profile is generated using the ImageJ “Plot Profile” function.

(Q) Quantification of the colocalization coefficient (the correlation of the intensities in each color of each individual pixel) of LC3-GFP and mito-dsRed in each axon before and after antimycin A treatment as shown in (B) using the ImageJ “colocalization” function. *“1” represents complete colocalization, and “0” represents no colocalization. The values of eight axons of each line are averaged, and the mean values of three lines (Wild-type-I, II, and III; LRRK2G2019S-I, II, and III) are grouped. Comparisons with “Wildtype, 0 min.”

(D) Neurons were transfected with mito-mkeima. 488 nm (red) excites neutral mitochondria, and 561 nm (green) excites acidic mitochondria.

(E) Quantification of the fluorescent ratio as shown in (D), expressed as a fraction of the mean of “Wildtype-I, 0 min.” Comparisons with “Wildtype-I, 0 min.” n = 8–9 axons from eight to nine independent transfections.

(F) GFP-expressing neurons were treated with antimycin A for the indicated time durations and immunostained. Yellow arrowheads show LC3 puncta in TH-positive axons after treatment. In Wild-type neurons at 60 min after antimycin A treatment, LC3-positive axons accounted for 90.90% of total dopaminergic (n = 11) and 89.47% of total non-dopaminergic axons (n = 57); in LRRK2G2019S, LC3-positive dopaminergic and non-dopaminergic axons were 0% and 0% at 120 min after treatment but increased to 100% (n = 20) and 88.76% (n = 89) at 220 min after treatment, respectively.

For all panels, 100 µM antimycin A was applied. Scale bars, 10 µm. See also Figures S2–S4.
Figure 4. Miro RNAi Rescues Phenotypes of LRRK2G2019S iPSC-Derived Neurons and Flies

(A) Schematic representation of Miro removal rates. The y axis is the percent of total Miro protein levels on the OMM, and the x axis is the time following depolarization (yellow flash sign). There is a hypothetical minimum amount of Miro on the OMM required for successfully anchoring mitochondria to motors and MT (microtubules) to enable movement. Note that the number of Miro protein on one mitochondrion drawn represents the relative protein amount rather than the actual number of the protein. In the experimental model, the Miro1 protein level is quantified in each condition using immunostaining as in Figure S5 expressed as a percentage of the mean of "Wildtype-I, control RNAi, 0 min," or the degradation rate of Miro1 (%/min) is calculated within the first 25 min compared to "Wildtype-I, control RNAi." n = 93–151 neurons from three independent transfections.

(legend continued on next page)
Partial Reduction of Miro Harrests Damaged Mitochondria and Restores Mitophagy in LRRK2G2019S iPSC-Derived Neurons

Our human neuron model demonstrates that removal of Miro from damaged mitochondria is slowed by LRRK2G2019S, delaying mitophagy arrest (Figure 2). Because Miro anchors mitochondria to motors and microtubules, it is likely that a minimum amount of Miro is required on the OMM in order to enable movement. In this case, while it takes wild-type neurons 25 min to reach that amount, it will take LRRK2G2019S neurons 70 min (Figures 2 and 4A). Based on this model (Figure 4A), we reasoned that one way to correct this slower speed is to start with less Miro, by mildly lowering the basal level of Miro in LRRK2G2019S neurons. To test this possibility, we performed Miro RNAi to knock down Miro1 expression and examined whether this could rescue the mitochondrial phenotypes in LRRK2G2019S neurons. We used non-targeting RNAi as a negative control. We transfected neurons with mito-dsRed, EGFP and RNA duplexes at day 20 after neuronal induction and live-imaged mito-dsRed from EGFP-positive axons 3 days later. Neurons positive for both mito-dsRed and EGFP have also likely taken up RNA duplexes (Wang and Schwarz, 2009b; Wang et al., 2011). Endogenous Miro1 protein levels did not appear to significantly differ in neurons positive for both mito-dsRed and EGFP between wild-type and LRRK2G2019S with control RNAi and were reduced to 70.88% ± 6.26% and 71.61% ± 8.00% by Miro1 RNAi in wild-type and LRRK2G2019S, respectively (Figures S5A and S5B). We first tested our theoretical model presented in Figure 4A directly. While there was no significant difference in Miro1 levels at baseline between wild-type (100%) and LRRK2G2019S neurons with control RNAi, at 25 min after antimycin A treatment, Miro1 was reduced to 23.61% ± 4.19% in wild-type and only to 72.15% ± 9.44% in LRRK2G2019S neurons; at 70 min after treatment, Miro1 was reduced to 29.14% ± 3.26% in LRRK2G2019S neurons, revealing a slower rate in degrading Miro by LRRK2G2019S. With Miro1 RNAi in LRRK2G2019S neurons, Miro1 was reduced to 62.46% ± 9.89% before treatment and to 26.40% ± 4.87% at 25 min after treatment, showing a correction of the slower degradation speed (Figure 4A). Miro1 RNAi in LRRK2G2019S iPSC-derived neurons did not significantly affect mitochondrial transport before antimycin A treatment (Figures 4B and SSC; Table S2), confirming that the partially reduced Miro protein levels by RNAi in the steady state are above the minimum amount required for movement. Intriguingly, after antimycin A treatment, Miro1 RNAi in LRRK2G2019S iPSC-derived neurons halted mitochondria and restored mitophagy; the percentage of mitochondria in motion was significantly reduced in both anterograde and retrograde directions 25 min after antimycin A treatment (Figures 4B and SSC; Table S2), and mitochondria were significantly degraded 70 min after treatment (Figures 4B and 4C). Control RNAi in LRRK2G2019S iPSC-derived neurons, in contrast, did not influence their phenotypes (Figures 2, 4B, 4C, and SSC; Table S2). We validated that Miro1 RNAi specifically targeted Miro1 mRNA, because the restorative effect was abolished when RNAi-resistant Drosophila Miro (DMiro) with no homology to Miro1 siRNA was co-expressed (Figures S6A and S6B). To summarize, we have discovered not only a novel cellular phenotype associated with LRRK2 mutations in PD (failure to promptly arrest mitochondrial movement upon depolarization and to clear damaged mitochondria) but also a way to rescue this defect (by lowering the basal level of Miro1 protein).

Partial Reduction of Miro Protects LRRK2G2019S iPSC-Derived Neurons against Mitochondrial Stress

Accumulation of dysfunctional mitochondria may cause neuronal cell death in vulnerable neurons. With partial reduction of Miro, we have a way to clear damaged mitochondria. Can this approach rescue neuronal cell death? To answer this question, we performed Miro RNAi in wild-type and LRRK2G2019S iPSC-derived neurons and examined if it could protect LRRK2G2019S neurons during oxidative stress. We transfected neuronal cultures with EGFP and applied antimycin A with a series of concentrations for 6 hr to induce oxidative stress. We identified EGFP-positive neurons by morphology (Wang et al., 2011). We found that both wild-type and LRRK2G2019S neurons showed an antimycin A dose-dependency of the loss of EGFP-positive neurons, but LRRK2G2019S neurons exhibited a significantly higher sensitivity (Figures 4D and S6C), consistent with the previous findings showing that these neurons are more vulnerable to stress (Nguyen et al., 2011). Interestingly, Miro1 RNAi did not affect the neuronal sensitivity to oxidative stress of wild-type, yet significantly rescued the loss of EGFP-positive neurons of LRRK2G2019S caused by 1 or 10 μM antimycin A (Figures 4D and S6C). Thus, partial reduction of Miro protects PD LRRK2G2019S neurons against mitochondrial stress.

Partial Reduction of Miro Rescues Locomotor Deficits and Dopaminergic Neurodegeneration in Drosophila Expressing LRRK2G2019S

We next tested the neuroprotective effect of lowering Miro protein levels in vivo. Rodent models expressing mutant LRRK2 do not produce consistent locomotion and neurodegenerative phenotypes (Garcia-Miralles et al., 2015; Li et al., 2010; Sloan.
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et al., 2012). However, Drosophila with overexpressed human LRRK2G2019S, exhibits locomotor defects and dopaminergic neurodegeneration (Liu et al., 2008; Martin et al., 2014), making the fruit fly a powerful in vivo model for studying PD mechanisms. We knocked down DMiro by RNAi in flies ubiquitously expressing human LRRK2 or LRRK2G2019S (Figure S6D). Third-instar larvae expressing LRRK2G2019S showed significantly compromised crawling ability as compared to control larvae and larvae bearing wild-type LRRK2; DMiro RNAi completely restored their crawling ability (Figure 4E). Adult flies expressing LRRK2G2019S displayed defects in the climbing and jumping abilities, and dopaminergic neurodegeneration in the clusters of paired posterior medial 1 and 2 (PPM1/2), in an age-dependent manner (Figures 4F, 4G, S6E, and S6F) (Liu et al., 2008; Martin et al., 2014). These behavioral deficits and dopaminergic neurodegeneration in adults were also fully rescued by DMiro RNAi (Figures 4F and 4G). Collectively, partial inhibition of DMiro rescues the locomotor defects and dopaminergic neurodegeneration by LRRK2G2019S in vivo.

LRRK2G2019S Disrupts Interaction with Miro on Damaged Mitochondria

To explore the mechanism by which LRRK2G2019S slows removal of Miro from the OMM (Figure 2), we considered the possibility that wild-type LRRK2 directly promotes Miro removal and LRRK2G2019S loses this function. This scenario may require LRRK2 to form a complex with Miro. To determine whether LRRK2 and Miro interact before Miro removal, we co-immunoprecipitated endogenous LRRK2 and Miro1 from iPSC-derived neurons 21 days after differentiation. We detected significant interaction of Miro1 with wild-type LRRK2, but not with LRRK2G2019S, after antymycin A treatment for only 10 min (Figure 5A). We next tested whether mitochondrial depolarization was sufficient to recruit LRRK2 to mitochondria to allow its binding to Miro, since LRRK2 mainly localizes to the cytosol. Indeed, by mitochondrial fractionation we found that LRRK2 in the mitochondrial fraction was significantly upregulated after antymycin A treatment for 10 min in wild-type, but not in LRRK2G2019S, iPSC-derived neurons (Figure 5B). Hence, at 10 min after depolarization, prior to Miro removal (25 min; Figure 2), LRRK2 is recruited to mitochondria and forms a complex with Miro in iPSC-derived neurons; on the contrary, LRRK2G2019S loses this function. This evidence indicates that wild-type LRRK2 promotes Miro removal from the OMM by associating in a complex with Miro; by disrupting this complex, pathogenic LRRK2G2019S slows Miro removal from the OMM (Figure 2) and delays the following initiation of mitophagy (Figure 3).

Similar to neurons, we detected depolarization-triggered interaction between endogenous Miro1 and LRRK2 in wild-type control, but not in LRRK2G2019S, fibroblasts (Figure 5C). We also observed LRRK2 recruitment to the mitochondrial fraction after CCCP treatment in wild-type, but not in LRRK2G2019S, fibroblasts (Figure 5D). LRRK2 recruitment to damaged mitochondria, and interaction with Miro1 upon CCCP depolarization occurred earlier (1 hr, Figures 5C and 5D) than Miro1 removal in wild-type fibroblasts (6 hr; Figure S7A). LRRK2G2019S fibroblasts failed to remove Miro1 from the OMM of damaged mitochondria, but not Mito1/2 (Figure S7A), consistent with the results from neurons (Figures 2D and 2E). Because in LRRK2G2019S fibroblasts and neurons where no LRRK2 is present on damaged mitochondria (Figures 5A–5D), Mito1/2 is removed as efficiently as in wild-type (Figures 2D, 2E, and S7A), this demonstrates that LRRK2 specifically targets Miro1, but not Mito1/2, for removal.

These results from patients’ neurons and fibroblasts suggest that LRRK2G2019S impairs Miro removal from damaged mitochondria by a loss of function. This predicts that cells lacking LRRK2 should also display Miro accumulation following mitochondrial depolarization similar to cells harboring LRRK2G2019S. Indeed, Miro1 and multiple mitochondrial proteins were resistant to degradation up to 14 hr after CCCP treatment in LRRK2 knockout HAP1 cells, derived from the near-haploid human CML (male chronic myelogenous leukemia) cell line KBM-7 (Carette et al., 2011) (Figure 5E), just as in LRRK2G2019S fibroblasts (Figure 1). Therefore, LRRK2G2019S disrupts Miro removal in a loss-of-function manner.

It is possible that the purpose for LRRK2 to interact with Miro on damaged mitochondria is to phosphorylate Miro. If this hypothesis were true, preventing LRRK2 from getting to damaged mitochondria and Miro in LRRK2G2019S fibroblasts and neurons (Figures 5A–5D) should abolish phosphorylation of Miro.
To test this hypothesis, we examined phosphorylation of Miro1 in fibroblasts and iPSC-derived neurons using phos-tag SDS-PAGE, where phosphorylated proteins are trapped by phos-tag ligands resulting in slower migration. We detected mitochondrial depolarization-induced phosphorylation of Miro1 in both neurons and fibroblasts but found that the degree of Miro1 phosphorylation upon depolarization was indistinguishable between wild-type and LRRK2G2019S (Figure 5F). This indicates that LRRK2 does not cause substantial phosphorylation of Miro when present on damaged mitochondria. The depolarization-triggered phosphorylation of Miro is likely mediated by PINK1 (Lai et al., 2015; Wang et al., 2011).

Since the G2019S mutation resides in the kinase domain, we examined whether the kinase activity of LRRK2 is required for LRRK2/Miro interaction. We consistently observed CCCP-triggered association of exogenously expressed wild-type LRRK2, but not LRRK2G2019S, with Miro1 in HEK293T cells (Figures 5G and S7B) and thus used HEK293T cells for these experiments. Notably, a kinase-inactive form of LRRK2 (LRRK2KD) was still able to significantly bind to Miro1 after depolarization, just like wild-type LRRK2 (Figures 5G and S7B). However, LRRK2R1441C, with a mutation outside the kinase domain, failed to bind to Miro1 upon CCCP treatment, similar to LRRK2G2019S (Figures S7B and S7C). Therefore, the LRRK2 kinase activity is dispensable for forming the LRRK2/Miro complex. We further determined whether the kinase activity is required for CCCP-triggered degradation of Miro. We applied an LRRK2 inhibitor, LRRK2-IN-1 (Deng et al., 2011; Skibinski et al., 2014), to control and LRRK2G2019S fibroblasts. The LRRK2 kinase activity was efficiently inhibited by LRRK2-IN-1, as demonstrated by the elimination of phosphorylation of LRRK2 at Ser935 (Figure 5H) (Deng et al., 2011; Skibinski et al., 2014). Importantly, inhibition of the kinase activity had no influence on CCCP-triggered degradation of Miro1 and the subsequent mitochondrial clearance in control fibroblasts, or on retention of Miro1 and multiple mitochondrial proteins after CCCP treatment in LRRK2G2019S fibroblasts (Figures 5H and S7D). These results reveal that the kinase activity of LRRK2 is not required for LRRK2/Miro interaction or for Miro removal from damaged mitochondria.

Taken together, our studies show that LRRK2 promotes Miro removal by forming a complex with Miro, independent of LRRK2-mediated phosphorylation; mutant LRRK2 loses this function.

**LRRK2 and the PINK1/Parkin Pathway Function in Parallel and Converge on Miro**

It remains possible that mutant LRRK2G2019S slows Miro removal from mitochondria (Figure 2) indirectly by disrupting the PINK1/Parkin pathway. We investigated this possibility. The signature of activating the PINK1/Parkin pathway is translocation of cytosolic Parkin to damaged mitochondria following depolarization (Youle and Narendra, 2011). We found that this process was not affected by LRRK2G2019S: Parkin was significantly up-regulated in the mitochondrial fractions upon depolarization both in iPSC-derived neurons (Figure 6A) and in fibroblasts (Figure 6B) with LRRK2G2019S. Therefore, LRRK2G2019S does not affect the PINK1/Parkin pathway.

To further dissect the relationship between LRRK2 and PINK1/Parkin, we examined LRRK2 function in two PD fibroblast lines with PINK1/Parkin mutations: PINK1I368N and Parkin255A EX3-4Del (Figure S1). Both mutant lines failed to degrade Miro1 and MitoPufcin2 – two targets of the PINK1/Parkin pathway for degradation, and both disrupted Parkin recruitment to damaged mitochondria (Figure S7E). However, these lines did not affect CCCP-triggered binding of LRRK2 to Miro1 (Figure 6C), or LRRK2 recruitment to damaged mitochondria (Figure 6D). Furthermore, in HeLa cells that lack endogenous Parkin, LRRK2 still interacted with Miro1 following depolarization (Figure 6E). Thus, LRRK2 binding to Miro and recruitment to damaged mitochondria are not affected by PINK1/Parkin mutations. Lastly, we found that overexpression of mCherry-Parkin in LRRK2G2019S fibroblasts did not rescue their phenotype—impaired Miro1 degradation after CCCP treatment (Figures 6F and 6G). Altogether, these results reveal that the PINK1/Parkin pathway and LRRK2 function in parallel and converge on Miro.

**Mitochondrial Motility and Mitophagy Are Impaired in Sporadic PD Patients**

Because Miro is resistant to degradation after mitochondrial depolarization in fibroblasts also from sporadic PD patients (Figure 1), we tested the possibility that recruitment of LRRK2 or Parkin to damaged mitochondria was disrupted leading to retention of Miro in these cells. Strikingly, after CCCP treatment for 1 hr, relocation of both LRRK2 and Parkin to the mitochondrial fractions was compromised in all five independent sporadic cell lines, although the degree of severity varied from line to line (Figure 7A). This indicates that impairments in the PINK1/Parkin and LRRK2 pathways contribute to the inefficiency of removing Miro from damaged mitochondria in sporadic cases.

The accumulation of Miro in sporadic patients (Figure 1) should also delay damaged mitochondrial arrest, the same phenotype we observed in LRRK2-related familiar cases (Figures 2, 3, and 4). Indeed, in iPSC-derived neuronal axons from two independent sporadic patients (Sporadic-I: ND3986, NINDS Human Cell and Data Repository; and Sporadic-II: ASE-9028, Applied StemCell), mitochondria did not stop moving until 70 min after antimycin A treatment, compared to 25 min in wild-type axons (Figures 2, 7B, and 7C). The following mitophagy was consequently delayed: damaged mitochondria were not cleared at 70 min after treatment when they were efficiently degraded in wild-type neurons, and LC3-GFP was not recruited to mito-dsRed until 190 min after treatment compared to 60 min in wild-type neurons (Figures 2, 3, and 7B–7E). In conclusion, the halt of damaged mitochondria and subsequent mitophagy are delayed in axons from sporadic patients.

**DISCUSSION**

In this study, using multiple independent in vivo and cellular models, we have provided novel insights into the molecular pathological mechanisms widely relevant to both sporadic and LRRK2-linked familial PD. LRRK2 forms a complex with Miro on damaged mitochondria and targets it for removal, which leads to the initiation of mitophagy. Pathogenic LRRK2 disrupts this complex, slows Miro removal, and consequently delays the onset of mitophagy (Figure 7F). Delayed mitophagy may accumulate reactive oxygen species and cause cell death in vulnerable neurons.
PD is a debilitating disease, but the cellular mechanisms underlying PD’s disastrous path remain elusive. There is an urgent need for more effective treatments and more reliable diagnoses.

Here, we have shown a strikingly apparent phenotype (retention of Miro) in skin fibroblasts from PD patients with a wide range of backgrounds (Figure 1). Because these cells are notably easy to

Figure 6. The PINK1/Parkin Pathway and LRRK2 Function in Parallel
(A) Mito and Cyto fractions were purified from iPSC-derived neurons with 100 μM antimycin A treatment and blotted with antibodies indicated. Loading ratio is 2:1:1 for “Mito:Cyto:Total.” The intensity of mitochondrial Parkin is normalized to that of VDAC, expressed as a fraction of the mean of “Wildtype-I, 0 min.” Comparisons with “Wildtype-I, 0 min.” n = 3 independent experiments.
(B and D) Mito and Cyto fractions (2:1 loading ratio) from fibroblasts were probed as indicated. The mitochondrial Parkin or LRRK2 band intensity, normalized to that of VDAC, is quantified and expressed as a fraction of the mean of “Control, 0 hr” (in B or Figure 5D). n = 3–5 independent experiments.
(C and E) Fibroblasts from PD patients (C) or HEK293T or HeLa cells transfected with indicated constructs (E) were incubated with 40 μM CCCP prior to immunoprecipitation with anti-Miro1 or anti-Myc. Representative results were repeated for at least three times.
(F) Fibroblasts were transfected with mCherry-Parkin, and immunostained with anti-Miro1. One mCherry-Parkin-transfected cell is labeled by a white dashed circle, adjacent to a few untransfected cells in the same field. Note that at 6 hr after CCCP treatment, mCherry-Parkin is cytosolic. Parkin recruitment to damaged mitochondria is an earlier step during mitophagy (Narendra et al., 2008), and it occurs at 1 hr after treatment in fibroblasts (B). Scale bar, 50 μm.
(G) Quantification of the mean intensity of Miro1 in fibroblasts transfected with mCherry-Parkin or control DNA (pcDNA3.1), expressed as a percentage of the mean of “Control, Control DNA, 0 hr.” Comparisons with “0 hr” within the same genotype. Overexpression of mCherry-Parkin mildly promoted Miro1 degradation at baseline without CCCP treatment (0 hr, p < 0.001), probably because mCherry-Parkin had been expressed for 2 days before the cells were analyzed. n = 143–151 cells from three independent transfections.

See also Figure S7.

PD is a debilitating disease, but the cellular mechanisms underlying PD’s disastrous path remain elusive. There is an urgent need for more effective treatments and more reliable diagnoses.
obtain and culture, this raises the possibility that these lines and this novel phenotypic readout can be used to screen for therapeutic interventions, pharmacodynamics biomarkers, and diagnostic innovations.

Our studies suggest that basal levels of Miro protein are normal but Miro degradation upon mitochondrial depolarization is compromised in various PD models. Accordingly, axonal mitochondrial motility at baseline is normal in both sporadic and LRRK2-related familial PD patients (Figures 2 and 7). A recent study has shown that basal bidirectional movements of mitochondria are increased in LRRK2G2019S or R1441C iPSC-derived neurons (Cooper et al., 2012). Here, we have measured mitochondrial motility using several parameters, including the percentage of time each mitochondrion is in motion (Tables S1 and S2) (Wang and Schwarz, 2009a, 2009b). Cooper and colleagues used a different parameter: the percentage of mobile mitochondria.

Figure 7. Mitochondrial Motility and Mitophagy Are Impaired in Sporadic Patients
(A) Mito and Cyto fractions (2:1 loading ratio) from fibroblasts were probed with antibodies indicated. The mitochondrial Parkin or LRRK2 band intensity is normalized to that of VDAC, and expressed as a fraction of the mean of "Control, 0 hr." Comparisons with "Control, 0 hr." n = 3 independent experiments.
(B–E) Mitochondrial motility (B and C), intensity (D), and LC3-GFP recruitment to mito-dsRed (E) are analyzed as in Figures 2 and 3. n = 8 axons per line. (E) The values of all axons are pooled (Wild-type: 24; Sporadic: 16). Comparisons with "Wild-type, 0 min/before treatment." The same wild-type values as in Figures 2 and 3 are used.
(F) Schematic representation of the mechanism by which LRRK2G2019S slows Miro removal from damaged mitochondria. ROS, reactive oxygen species. Scale bars, 10 μm.
mitochondria out of total mitochondria over a certain period of time. In addition, we have analyzed the distal segment of the axons, whereas Cooper and colleagues examined the proximal part. The different methods used may account for the different conclusions drawn on baseline motility, but both studies agree that basal mitochondrial motility is not reduced, suggesting that Miro does not lose its function in human neuron PD models. Miro loss-of-function mutations in humans may cause prenatal death or early developmental defects, since Miro null mutations are lethal to Drosophila and mice (Guo et al., 2005; Nguyen et al., 2014). Mutations in Miro have so far not been found linked to PD, but our results suggest that the Miro gene need not be altered, and instead, the Miro protein is misregulated in PD patients.

Previous studies have shown contrasting results regarding how LRRK2G2019S changes mitochondrial morphology in fibroblasts. Some have shown elongation (Mortiboys et al., 2010), fragmentation (Su et al., 2015; Wang et al., 2012), or no change (Papkovskaia et al., 2012). In our hands, we have observed no significant morphological change of mitochondria in LRRK2G2019S fibroblasts in the steady state. The differing results highlight the complexity of measuring mitochondrial morphology, and the confounding factors may include quantifying methods, age of cells, culturing conditions, and variations from line to line.

We have shown that LRRK2 and the PINK1/Parkin pathway function in parallel but converge on Miro (Figure 6). Unexpectedly, both pathways are compromised in sporadic cases, leading to Miro accumulation similar as in familial cases (Figures 1 and 7). These results reveal a shared molecular signature in clinically and genetically distinct patients. Miro serves as a converging nexus to relay many upstream cellular signals to mitochondria (Pekurnaz et al., 2014; Wang and Schwarz, 2009b; Wang et al., 2011), which are yet to be finalized. Impairments in these signals might contribute to neurodegeneration via a common, Miro-dependent mechanism.

The presence of the defect in Miro and mitophagy in skin fibroblasts, non-dopaminergic, and dopaminergic neurons is consistent with other work indicating that several fundamental cellular pathologies associated with PD involve impairments in highly conserved cellular pathways (Gitler et al., 2009; Ryan et al., 2015). PD-causing genes such as LRRK2, PINK1, or Parkin are all ubiquitously expressed, and their mutations should affect cellular functions in cells not limited to dopaminergic neurons. In human patients, their cellular impairments may exist ubiquitously but lead to cell death only in the most vulnerable neurons (for example, aging dopaminergic neurons in the substantia nigra). These neurons have unusually elevated cellular stress caused by intense neuronal activities, dopamine metabolism, and elaborate axonal networks and may contain more damaged mitochondria (Pacelli et al., 2015; Surmeier et al., 2010) and be more susceptible to impaired mitophagy.

We have not only identified this cellular defect but also found a way to correct this defect by partial reduction of Miro levels. Remarkably, this approach is neuronal protective both in cultured iPSC-derived neurons and in vivo. In human LRRK2G2019S neurons, it alleviates the neuronal sensitivity to stress, and in LRRK2G2019S flies, it rescues dopaminergic neurodegeneration and locomotor deficits. This indicates that this Miro-dependent pathway may constitute a major part of LRRK2-linked PD pathogenesis. Our results warrant closer examination of Miro as a potential target for PD, especially since its partial inhibition could alleviate defects of both familial and sporadic PD patients.

**EXPERIMENTAL PROCEDURES**

**Neuronal Derivation from iPSCs**

iPSCs were derived to midbrain dopaminergic neurons using an adaptation of the dual-smad inhibition method with the use of smad inhibitors dorsomorphin (Sigma) and SB431542 (Tokris) and the addition of GSK3β inhibitor CHIR99021 (Stemgent). Shh was replaced with the smoothened agonist SAG. Please see Supplemental Experimental Procedures for details.

**Cell Culture**

Human primary fibroblasts isolated from PD patients and healthy controls, HEK293T, and HeLa cells were cultured in high-glucose DMEM (Invitrogen) supplemented with 10% fetal bovine serum and maintained in a 37°C, 5% CO2 incubator with humidified atmosphere. Wild-type and LRRK2 knockout HAP1 cell lines (https://www.horizondiscovery.com/human-lrrk2-knockout-cell-line-hzg002500c005) were cultured as instructed.

**Immunoprecipitation and Western Blotting**

CCCP was applied at 40 μM for different time periods, or LRRK2-2IN-1 (R&D systems) was applied at 500 nM for 18 hr prior to CCCP treatment, fibroblasts or HAP1 cells before cells were lysed in RIPA lysis buffer with 0.25 mM PMSF and protease inhibitors. Lysates were cleaned by centrifugation at 17,000 × g for 10 min at 4°C, and supernatants were run in an SDS-PAGE for western blotting. For each preparation of immunoprecipitation, 1.1 × 106 cells were lysed in 300 μl Triton X-100 lysis buffer. Please see Supplemental Experimental Procedures for more details.

**Live-Image Acquisition and Quantification, Mitochondrial Isolation, Immunocytochemistry and Confocal Microscopy, Fly Behavior Assay, Fly Stocks, and Constructs**

For details, please see Supplemental Experimental Procedures.

**Statistical Analysis**

Throughout the paper, the distribution of data points is expressed as mean ± SEM. A one-way ANOVA post hoc Tukey test was performed for all comparisons unless otherwise stated. Statistical analyses were performed using Prism 5 software (GraphPad). Manual quantification was performed in a blind fashion for all figures.

**SUPPLEMENTAL INFORMATION**

Supplemental Information includes Supplemental Experimental Procedures, seven figures, and two tables and can be found with this article online at http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.stem.2016.08.002.
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